GLOBALIZATION AND ITS DISCONTENTS

Joseph E. Stiglitz



W. W. NORTON & COMPANY
NEW YORK LONDON

CONTENTS

Preface 1x

Acknowledgments xvII

- 1. The Promise of Global Institutions 3
- 2. Broken Promises 23
- . Freedom to Choose? 53
- 4. The East Asia Crisis: How IMF Policies Brought the World to the Verge of a Global Meltdown 89
- 5. Who Lost Russia? 133
- 6. Unfair Fair Trade Laws and Other Mischief 16
- 7. Better Roads to the Market 180
- 8. The IMF's Other Agenda 195

9. The Way Ahead 214

Notes 259

Afterword 253

Index 275

PREFACE

have played such a large role in removing those barriers and the polibeen managed, including the international trade agreements that also believe that if this is to be the case, the way globalization has of national economies—can be a force for good and that it has the tion—the removal of barriers to free trade and the closer integration especially the poor within those countries. I believe that globalizaing effect that globalization can have on developing countries, and because while I was at the World Bank, I saw firsthand the devastatof both globalization and development. I have written this book economic development and what I saw radically changed my views at the Bank during the financial crisis that began in East Asia in 1997 potential to enrich everyone in the world, particularly the poor. But I and eventually enveloped the world. I had always been interested in House as Russia began its transition from communism and I worked more fascinating time to go into policy making. I was in the White more to the point, politics. From there I moved to the World Bank in almost three years, leaving in January 2000. I couldn't have chosen a 1997, where I served as chief economist and senior vice president for teaching this was my first major foray into policy making, and N 1993 I left academia to serve on the Council of Economic Advisers under President Bill Clinton. After years of research and State 5

×

of globalization, need to be radically rethought. cies that have been imposed on developing countries in the process

(1665) / taken, ones that did not solve the problem at hand but that fit with Hideology and politics. As a result many wrong-headed actions were making a decision about what is the best course of action. Unfortusionate way, to put aside ideology and to look at the evidence before more like scholars and to engage in scientific debate, based on hard become politicized and start to bend the evidence to fit the ideas of facts and evidence. Regrettably, the opposite happens too often, at the World Bank, I saw that decisions were often made because of nomic advice in the executive branch of the U.S. government), and panel of three experts appointed by the president to provide ecomember and then chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers (a nately, though hardly surprisingly, in my time at the White House as a when academics involved in making policy recommendations Pierre Bourdieu has written about the need for politicians to behave the interests or beliefs of the people in power. The French intellectual Washington. I believe it is important to view problems in a dispasthe economic and social issues I dealt with during my seven years in As a professor, I spent a lot of time researching and thinking about

2116.5

subjects such as bankruptcy, corporate governance, and the openness called the economics of information), and more applied subjects I first discussed these issues with leaders in China, as it was beginning experience with how to handle such transitions began in 1980, when concerning transitions from Communist to market economies. My 1997. I had also been involved for nearly twenty years in discussions of and access to information (what economists call transparency) monetary policy. I spent more than twenty-five years writing about including the economics of the public sector, development, and Before entering the White House, I had divided my time spent or tered in Washington, DC, at least it did prepare me professionally its move toward a market economy. I had been a strong advocate of These were crucial issues when the global financial crisis began in (helping to develop a branch of economics that has since come to be research and writing between abstract mathematical economics If my academic career did not prepare me for all that I encoun-

Co

other countries of the former Soviet Union. strong critic of some of the extreme reform strategies such as "shock therapy" that have failed so miserably in Russia and some of the proven their merit over the past two decades; and I have been a the gradualist policies adopted by the Chinese, policies that have

say, government monopolies to private companies), but only if it sumers. This is more likely to happen if markets are competitive, helps companies become more efficient and lowers prices for conequitably. To take but one issue, I believe in privatization (selling off, economic policies have the power to change the lives of these poor challenges facing Kenya were difficult, but I hoped that it might be shortly after its independence in 1963. Some of my most important which is one of the reasons I support strong competition policies. help countries grow but that also ensure that growth is shared more people. I believe governments need to—and can—adopt policies that Economics may seem like a dry, esoteric subject but, in fact, good ple there and in the rest of the world who live in extreme poverty. possible to do something to improve the lives of the billions of peotheoretical work had been inspired by what I saw there. I knew the ther—to the time I spent in Kenya on an academic posting (1969-71) My involvement in issues of development dates back even fur-

motivated

Concern . Por utua. S

economists had used for generations argued either that markets vided the foundations for more realistic theories of labor and finanwhich assume perfect competition and perfect information claim why markets do not work perfectly, in the way that simplistic models so the ical work in economics, much of it related to market imperfections worked perfectly-some even denied the existence of genuine the economist's jargon, credit-rationing. The standard models that why those most in need of credit often cannot get it—there is, to use cial markets, explaining, for instance, why there is unemployment and These asymmetries are pervasive in all economies. This work prolender and the borrower, the insurance company and the insured. ences in information between, say, the worker and his employer, the information, in particular, on asymmetries of information—the differthey do. I brought to policy making my work on the economics of link between the policies I advocated and my earlier, largely theoret-Both at the World Bank and the White House, there was a close

Comp Ex 04 55 . " 12 hde Than

F110001453~

Voice.

strong policy implications—some of which are obvious to almost anyone in touch with the real world such as that if you raise intermodels that provided deeper insights into unemployment, models wages. Information economics, with its better analyses of labor, capiwas that wages were too high, suggesting the obvious remedy: lower had marked capitalism since its beginnings. These theories have that explained the fluctuations, the recessions and depressions, that tal, and product markets, enabled the construction of macroeconomic unemployment—or that the only reason that unemployment existed thought they were obvious, these policy prescriptions ran counter to forced into bankruptcy, and this will be bad for the economy. While I est rates to exorbitant levels, firms that are highly indebted can be those that were frequently insisted upon by the International Mone-

that markets, by themselves, lead to efficient outcomes, failed to allow following pages is a matter of *ideas*, and conceptions of the role of the government that derive from these ideas. for desirable government interventions in the market, measures which can guide economic growth and make everyone better off The IMF's policies, in part based on the outworn presumption

jerst vost

development, manage crises, and facilitate economic transition. My international institutions that are supposed to drive economic tion-they are also central to my thinking about reforming the the policies to have a greater say in their formulation. The analysis of about what these institutions do, allowing those who are affected by among the least transparent that I had encountered in public life. This transparency; but saddened by the hypocrisy that the institutions, the during the global financial crisis in 1997-98 of the importance of quences of the lack of information. I was glad to see the emphasis research on information made me particularly attentive to the conseprescriptions—in development, in managing crises, and in transiincreased transparency, improving the information that citizens have is why in the discussion of reform I emphasize the necessity for IMF and the U.S. Treasury, which emphasized it in East Asia, were Although such ideas have had an important role in shaping policy

> from my earlier work on the role of information in economics the role of information in political institutions evolved quite naturally

cult problems facing the developing world. anced perspective, and the lessons I had learned, to the far more diffi When I moved to the World Bank, I had hoped to bring this balalso seen that improvements, modest as they might be, were possible. government was neither; I had seen how difficult reform is; but I had government more efficient and more responsive; I had seen where had worked on the initiative for "reinventing government"—making were all issues in which government had to take an important role. I every societal problem. Inequality, unemployment, pollution: these ther was I so foolish as to believe that markets by themselves solved as to think that government could remedy every market failure. Neiied the failures of both markets and government, and was not so naive was an important, if limited, role for government to play. I had studognized that while markets were at the center of the economy, there and philosophy that viewed the relationship between government and markets as complementary, both working in partnership, and rec-Council of Economic Advisers, I tried to forge an economic policy which my research had led. For instance, as chairman of Clinton's ment works but also to put forward some of the perspectives to opportunity not only to get a better understanding of how govern-One of the exciting aspects of coming to Washington was the

sometimes seemed to be thinly veiling special interests. When crises hit, the IMF prescribed outmoded, inappropriate, if "standard" seemed a curious blend of ideology and bad economics, dogma that national Monetary Fund. Decisions were made on the basis of what neither dominated the formulation of policy, especially at the Interitics. But as I moved to the international arena, I discovered that what I advocated was good economics but also that it was good poldid politics, and one of my jobs was to persuade others not just that economics, to participate in them. I knew that ideas mattered but so how they were resolved but, especially in areas that touched upon cabinet, I was well positioned not only to observe the debates and see winning some battles, losing others. As a member of the president's Inside the Clinton administration, I enjoyed the political debate,

det no

solutions, without considering the effects they would have on the people in the countries told to follow these policies. Rarely did I see forecasts about what the policies would do to poverty. Rarely did I see thoughtful discussions and analyses of the consequences of alternative policies. There was a single prescription. Alternative opinions were not sought. Open, frank discussion was discouraged—there was no room for it. Ideology guided policy prescription and countries were expected to follow the IMF guidelines without debate.

alemoc (o on successful market economy, and that their measures would, in fact, of the pain countries had to experience on the way to becoming a simply assumed that whatever suffering occurred was a necessary part that often accompanied the IMF programs, inside the IMF it was then only in private. But while no one was happy about the suffering others, that they articulated their doubts most cautiously, if at all, and were so afraid they might lose IMF funding, and with it funding from often questioned by people in the developing countries, but many policies weren't questioned by many of the people in power in the even greater poverty. What astounded me, however, was that those ately to the better-off, with those at the bottom sometimes facing out some growth for a while, often the benefits went disproportioneven when results were not so dire, even when they managed to eke tent imbalances—led to hunger and riots in many countries; and designed to help a country adjust to crises as well as to more persisthan they worked. IMF structural adjustment policies—the policies is facing a crisis. But its remedies failed as often, or even more often IMF is often called upon in the worst of situations, when the country that. The problems facing developing countries are difficult, and the advice. Yet countries all over the world were instructed to do just lives we would never follow ideas blindly without seeking alternative produced poor results; they were antidemocratic. In our personal reduce the pain the countries would have to face in the long run. IMF, by those who were making the critical decisions. They were These attitudes made me cringe. It was not just that they often

Undoubtedly, some pain was necessary; but in my judgment, the level of pain in developing countries created in the process of globalization and development as it has been guided by the IMF and the international economic organizations has been far greater than nec-

essary. The backlash against globalization draws its force not only from the perceived damage done to developing countries by policies driven by ideology but also from the inequities in the global trading system. Today, few—apart from those with vested interests who benefit from keeping out the goods produced by the poor countries—defend the hypocrisy of pretending to help developing countries by forcing them to open up their markets to the goods of the advanced industrial countries while keeping their own markets protected, policies that make the rich richer and the poor more impoverished—and increasingly angry.

The barbaric attacks of September 11, 2001, have brought home with great force that we all share a single planet. We are a global community, and like all communities have to follow some rules so that we can live together. These rules must be—and must be seen to be—fair and just, must pay due attention to the poor as well as the powerful, must reflect a basic sense of decency and social justice. In today's world, those rules have to be arrived at through democratic processes; the rules under which the governing bodies and authorities work must ensure that they will heed and respond to the desires and needs of all those affected by policies and decisions made in distant places.

This book is based on my experiences. There aren't nearly as many footnotes and citations as there would be in an academic paper. Instead, I tried to describe the events I witnessed and tell some of the stories that I heard. There are no smoking guns here. You won't find hard evidence of a terrible conspiracy by Wall Street and the IMF to take over the world. I don't believe such a conspiracy exists. The truth is subtler. Often it's a tone of voice, or a meeting behind closed doors, or a memo that determines the outcome of discussions. Many of the people I criticize will say I have gotten it wrong; they may even produce evidence that contradicts my views of what happened. I can only offer my interpretation of what I saw.

When I joined the World Bank, I had intended to spend most of my time on issues of development and the problems of the countries trying to make the transition to a market economy; but the global



Reference and a resident control organizations (NGOs), bankers, business people, students, political activists, and farmers. I visited Islamic guerrillas in lages in some of the poorest mountainous parts of China. I saw rural credit schemes and programs for mobilizing women in Banglacentral bank governors, academics, development workers, people at and spoke to thousands of government officials, finance ministers, desh, and witnessed the impact of programs to reduce poverty in vil-Bhutan or a village irrigation project in Nepal, saw the impact of rebellion), trekked through the Himalayas to see remote schools in Mindanao (the Philippine island which has long been in a state of fraction of my time. I visited dozens of countries all over the world nomic and financial system are governed—in order to make globalessence of what I saw and learned and present it in this book. history being made and I learned a lot. I have tried to distill the ization more humane, effective, and equitable occupied a large economic architecture—the system by which the international ecofinancial crisis and the debates about reforming the international

I hope my book will open a debate, a debate that should occur not just behind the closed doors of government and the international organizations, or even in the more open atmosphere of universities. Those whose lives will be affected by the decisions about how globalization is managed have a right to participate in that debate, and they have a right to know how such decisions have been made in the past. At the very least, this book should provide more information about the events of the past decade. More information will surely lead to better policies and those will lead to better results. If that happens, then I will feel I have made a contribution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Perry, Boris Pleskovic, Jo Ritzen, Halsey Rogers, Lyn Squire, Vinod Khanna, Lawrence MacDonald, Ngozi Ojonjo-Iweala, Guillermo Gevers, Noemi Giszpenc, Maria Ionata, Roumeen Islam, Anupam Bhattacharya, Francois Bourgignon, Gerard Caprio, Ajay Chhibber, worked most closely, including Masood Ahmed, Lucie Albert, Amar out anyone, lest I slight others, but at the same time I would be what was happening in the developing countries. I hesitate to single Uri Dadush, Carl Dahlman, Bill Easterly, Giovanni Ferri, Coralie remiss if I did not acknowledge at least some of those with whom I the many trips through which I could get unique perspectives on me their years of experience in the field. They also helped arrange years about all the issues discussed in this book but for sharing with of our lives. I am indebted to hundreds of colleagues at the World Bank, not only for the vigorous discussions that we had over the tively rare for an academic, to glimpse decision making that affects all peoples of the developing world, also gave me an opportunity, rela-Wolfensohn, in giving me opportunities to serve my country and the HERE IS AN ENDLESS list of those to whom I am greatly President Bill Clinton and World Bank President Jim indebted, without whom this book could not be written:

Thomas, Maya Tudor, Mike Walton, Shahid Yusuf, and Hassan Zaman.

Others at the World Bank whom I would like to thank include Martha Ainsworth, Myrna Alexander, Shaida Badiee, Stijn Claessens, Paul Collier, Kemal Dervis, Dennis de Tray, Shanta Devarajan, Ishac Diwan, David Dollar, Mark Dutz, Alan Gelb, Isabel Guerrero, Cheryl Gray, Robert Holzman, Ishrat Husain, Greg Ingram, Manny Jimenez, Mats Karlsson, Danny Kaufman, Ioannis Kessides, Homi Kharas, Aart Kray, Sarwar Lateef, Danny Leipziger, Brian Levy, Johannes Linn, Oey Astra Meesook, Jean-Claude Milleron, Pradeep Mitra, Mustapha Nabli, Gobind Nankani, John Nellis, Akbar Noman, Fayez Omar, John Page, Guy Pfeffermann, Ray Rist, Christof Ruehl, Jessica Seddon, Marcelo Selowski, Jean Michel Severino, Ibrahim Shihata, Sergio Shmuckler, Andres Solimano, Eric Swanson, Marilou Uy, Tara Viswanath, Debbie Wetzel, David Wheeler, and Roberto Zagha.

sions we had, not the least of which was a better understanding of went about doing it, I learned much from them and the long discusthat I often disagreed with much of what they did and how they to my colleagues at the World Bank, I perhaps interacted more with regional offices and the Asian and African Development Banks. Next have far more open and free discussions than they can at an official under which they work, and their willingness at a personal level to appreciate the hard work that they put in, the difficult circumstances their mind-sets. I should also be clear: while I am highly critical, I also those at the IMF, and, while it will be clear from the ensuing pages Reconstruction and Development; and a host of others at the UN Jacques de Larosière, the former head of theEuropean Bank for Ricardo Haussman at the Inter-American Development Bank; Malloch Brown at the UNDP; Enrique Iglesias, Nancy Birdsall, and UNCTAD (the UN Conference on Trade and Development); Marc issues that are reflected upon here-including Rubens Ricupero at economic organizations with whom I discussed the numerous I am also indebted to the many people in the other international

I am also grateful to the numerous government officials in the developing countries, from large countries like China and India to small countries like Uganda and Bolivia, from prime ministers and

heads of state to finance ministers and central bank governors, to education ministers and other cabinet officials on down, who willingly shared their time to discuss with me their visions for their countries, as well as the problems and frustrations they faced. In our long meetings, they often talked to me in confidence. Many of those, such as Vaclav Klaus, the former prime minister of the Czech Republic, would disagree with much that I have to say, yet I learned a great deal from talking to them. Others, such as Andrei Illarionov, currently Putin's chief economic adviser, and Grzegorz W. Kolodko, former deputy prime minister and finance minister of Poland, Meles Zenawi, prime minister of Ethiopia, or Yoweri Museveni, president of Uganda, would be sympathetic with much, if not most, of what I have to say. Some of those at the international economic organizations who have been helpful have also asked me not to thank them, and I have honored their request.

While much of my time was spent in discussions with government officials, I was also able to meet large numbers of businessmen, who also gave of their time as they described the challenges that they faced and provided their interpretations of what was going on in their countries. While it is difficult to single out any single individual, I should mention Howard Golden, whose detailed descriptions of experiences in a multitude of countries were particularly insightful.

As an academic, I had my own entrée into the countries I visited, so I could see matters from perspectives that were not dictated by "official positions." This book owes a great deal to this global network of academic colleagues—one of the healthier aspects of globalization. I am particularly indebted to my colleagues at Stanford, Larry Lau, at the time head of the Asia Pacific Center, Masa Aoki, currently research director at the Ministry of Economics and International Trade in Japan, and Yingyi Qian, not only for the insights that they provided into Asia but for the many doors they opened. Over the years, academic colleagues and former students such as Jungyoll Yun in Korea, Mrinal Datta Chaudhuri in India, K. S. Jomo in Malaysia, Justin Lin in China, and Amar Siamwalla in Thailand helped me see and understand their countries.

The hectic years at the World Bank and the Council of Economic Advisers have been followed by a more reflective period of research

and teaching. I am deeply indebted to the Brookings Institution, Stanford, and Columbia—and my students and colleagues at those institutions—for invaluable discussions on the ideas contained here, and to my associates Ann Florini and Tim Kessler, who worked with me to create the Initiative for Policy Dialogue, originally centered at Stanford University, and the Carnegie Endowment for Peace, now located at Columbia University (www.gsb.edu/ipd), to promote the kind of informed democratic discussion of alternative policies that I call for in this book During this period, financial support has also been provided by the Ford, MacArthur, and Rockefeller Foundations, the UNDP, the Canadian International Development Agency, and the UNDP.

In writing a book like this, while I have relied mostly on my own experiences, those have been amplified not only by my colleagues but by a host of reporters. A theme in this book that I hope has some resonance is the importance of open access to *information*: many of the problems I describe arise because so much goes on behind closed doors. I have always believed that an active and free press is a critical check on these abuses and is necessary for democracy, and many of the reporters with whom I dealt regularly were dedicated to that mission. I learned much from them, as we shared our interpretation of the events that were occurring. Again, at the risk of singling out a couple when so many should be recognized: Chrystia Freeland was a huge help with the Russia chapter, and Paul Blustein and Mark Clifford provided valuable insights on the Hast Asian experience.

Economics is the science of choice. From the wealth of insights and information, on the subjects as complicated and fascinating as those discussed here, volumes could be written. Unfortunately, that was one of my main challenges in writing this book: the volumes I did write had to be shaped into a far shorter narrative. I had to let go of some of the ideas and skip some of the qualifications, as important as I thought they were. I had grown accustomed to two forms of writing: serious academic tomes and brief popular speeches. This work represents, for me, a new genre. It could not have been published without the tireless efforts of Anya Schiffrin, who spent months working with me on the writing and the revisions, helping me make those hard choices, as painful as they sometimes seemed.

Drake McFeely—my editor for twenty years—encouraged and supported me throughout. Sarah Stewart's edits were terrific, Jim Wade worked tirelessly to pull the final manuscript together, and Eve Lazovitz offered important support at several key stages.

Nadia Roumani has been my right-hand woman for years. Nothing would be possible without her. Sergio Godoy and Monica Fuentes diligently checked the facts and found the statistics I needed. Leah Brooks helped a lot with the earlier drafts. Niny Khor and Ravi Singh, my research assistants at Stanford, worked hard on the penultimate version.

Oleg Bogomolov and Stanislav Menshikov in Russia. multitude of academicians in the economies in transition, including and Andrei Shleifer. I have also benefited from discussions with a those whose interpretations of events I sometimes, perhaps often, disdebate, and I have learned much from discussions with and reading and Gerard Roland. A central theme of this book is the value of open agree with—in particular Richard Layard, Jeff Sachs, Anders Aslund, other leading scholars: Peter Murrell, Jan Svejnar, Marshall Goldman, particular from Janos Kornai's insights. I should also mention four mic economists greatly over the past fifteen years. I have benefited in economy has been a subject that has engaged the interest of acade-East Asia and Ethiopia. The transition from Communism to a market institutions but also about several of the specific topics covered here, not only about the general problems of the international economic mention Professor Robert Wade of the London School of Economof others, again too numerous to cite. I have also benefited from ics, a former World Bank staff member, who has written insightfully innumerable discussions with colleagues around the world. I should my own, in conjunction with a large number of coauthors, and that This work rests on a considerable body of academic work, both

Steve Lewis, Peter Eigen, and Charles Harvey all provided me with insights into Botswana from their firsthand experiences, and Charles Harvey gave me detailed comments on chapter 2. Over the years, work and discussions with Nick Stern (who succeeded me at the World Bank after serving as chief economist at the EBRD), Partha Dasgupta, Ravi Kanbur (who was responsible for the landmark World Development Report on Poverty of 2001, initiated

dealing with the crisis when it occurred. I owe an especial debt of done with Marilou Uy, in conjunction with, among others, Howard of privatization, and for his broader insights into capital market problems of transition, for his empirical analyses of the consequences ticularly indebted to Andy Weiss for his practical insights into the have been particularly influential in shaping my thinking. I am parvitz, David Newbery, Jim Mirrlees, Amartya Sen, and David Ellerman at the World Bank), Karla Hoff, Raaj Sah, David Bevan, Mark Gersowhile I was still chief economist at the World Bank), Avi Braverman with Carl Shapiro, Michael Rothschild, Sandy Grossman, Steve any market economy works, but especially developing ones. Work due to Hal Varian for suggesting the title. Anyone who reads this East Asia and the critique of the Washington Consensus. Thanks are gratitude to Jason Furman, who worked with me both at the White vided me with insights into the region that put me in good stead in Pack, Nancy Birdsall, Danny Leipziger, and Kevin Murdoch, proimperfections. My earlier work on East Asia for the World Bank (now president of Ben-Gurion University but a longtime researcher it all, there is always Bruce Greenwald—my collaborator and friend and the importance—and limitations—of institutions. At the end of ment, capital market imperfections, the limitations of competition Salop, and Richard Arnott helped provide insights into unemployinformation and markets-central, I believe, for understanding how book will also see clearly the influence of ideas concerning imperfect House and at the World Bank, for all his work, but especially that or for more than twenty-five years

GLOBALIZATION AND ITS DISCONTENTS